**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.**

**Arbitration Case No.298 of 2006**

**Date of Decision: April 16, 2007**

**M/s.Lakshmi Rice and General Mills, Phillaur .....Petitioner**

**through Ms.Madhu P. Singh , Advocate**

**v.**

**The Punjab State Coop. Supply and Marketing Federation Limited .....Respondents**

**through Mr.Manjit Singh, Advocate**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIJENDER JAIN, CHIEF JUSTICE**

***

**1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?**

**2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**

**3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

***

**VIJENDER JAIN, CHIEF JUSTICE (ORAL)**

Counsel for the respondent has conceded that the arbitration clause is not denied. The letter invoking the arbitration clause is also not denied. However, learned counsel for the respondent says that the petitioner's claim is time barred. It is no more res-integra that such question of limitation has to be decided by the Arbitrator.

Therefore, with the consent of the parties, I appoint Mr.B.R.Bansal (retired Additional District & Sessions Judge, Punjab) who is on the panel of the Arbitrators of the respondent as the sole Arbitrator to adjudicate upon all the disputes between the parties.

The fee of the Arbitrator shall be fixed as per the norms of the respondent.

Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to the Arbitrator.

Parties to appear before the Arbitrator on 4.5.2007 at 4.00 P.M. at his residence.

Petition stands disposed of.

**( VIJENDER JAIN )**
**CHIEF JUSTICE**

**April 16, 2007**

RC